

Superior Court Judge

Yvonne Lamoureux, Third Judicial District



MAILING ADDRESS: 825 West 4th Ave., Suite 316
Anchorage, AK 99501

EMAIL: ylamoureux@akcourts.us

AGE: 43

PLACE OF BIRTH: Lancaster, New Hampshire

SPOUSE'S NAME: William Finley

CHILDREN'S NAMES: Isabelle

LENGTH OF RESIDENCY IN ALASKA: 16 years

ALASKAN COMMUNITIES LIVED IN:

Juneau May-July 2003

Anchorage July 2004-present

EDUCATION:

Phillips Academy Andover 1995

Columbia College, Columbia University, BA 1998

University of Virginia School of Law, JD 2003

POLITICAL AND GOVERNMENT POSITIONS:

Superior Court Judge, 2017-present

Assistant United States Attorney, 2010-2017

Law Clerk, Superior Court Judge Morgan Christen,
2004-2006

Law Clerk, United States District Court Judge
Christopher Droney, 2003-2004

BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL POSITIONS:

Associate, Stoel Rives LLP, 2008-2010

Associate, Heller Ehrman LLP, 2006-2008

Alaska Bar Association Ethics Committee

CINA Rules Committee

CINA Court Improvement Program

SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS MEMBERSHIPS:

Alaska Bar Association

Anchorage Bar Association

Anchorage Association of Women Lawyers

National Association of Women Judges

Anchorage Imagination Library Advisory Team

Anchorage Montessori School, former Board member

SPECIAL INTERESTS:

Spending time with family, especially climbing, rafting, skiing

STATEMENT:

Since 2017, I have had the privilege of serving as a Superior Court Judge, presiding over primarily civil matters. I love the variety of my caseload, from complex civil litigation to administrative appeals to custody cases to involuntary mental health commitments to child-in-need-of-aid cases. However, that wide range of case types requires legal knowledge in many different areas of the law and an equally diverse role of the judge in the courtroom. I believe it is of utmost importance to be prepared for every hearing to be able to best serve the parties in their cases, and I have worked diligently to do so. I remain committed to provide a forum where parties can present their cases, to listen to their positions, and to apply the law fairly and impartially. I am committed to public service and would be honored to continue serving in this role.

*The views expressed in this statement are from the candidate and not endorsed by the Division of Elections.
The text of this statement was provided and paid for by the candidate in accordance with AS 15.58.030 and 6 AAC 25.690.*

Alaska Judicial Council Evaluation

Judge Yvonne Lamoureux, Anchorage Superior Court

The Alaska Judicial Council finds Judge Lamoureux met or exceeded performance standards, and recommends a **“YES”** vote for another term in office

What is the Alaska Judicial Council?

- The Council is created by the Alaska Constitution;
- The Council members are volunteers who live in different parts of the state, and who are appointed without regard to political affiliation;
- One of the Council’s duties is to evaluate the performance of judges and report back to voters;
- The Council is authorized by law to recommend to voters whether a judge should be retained for another term in office.

What performance standards were used by the Council to evaluate Judge Lamoureux?

Judge Lamoureux was evaluated in the following performance areas: integrity, impartiality and fairness, temperament, legal ability, and diligence and administrative skills. Because the judge met or exceeded all standards, the Council recommends a “yes” vote.

How did the Council determine that Judge Lamoureux met performance standards?

The Council collected and analyzed information from a variety of sources and viewpoints for a full picture of the judge’s performance.

- **Justice System Professionals** – The Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans who work in the justice system about their experiences with Judge Lamoureux. Survey ratings from these groups are listed in the chart below.
- **Jurors & the Public** – The Council considered feedback from the public at a statewide telephonic hearing, and from written comments. The Council also surveyed jurors who served in the judge’s court. Juror ratings are listed in the chart below.
- **Other Information** – The Council analyzed how often a higher court agreed with Judge Lamoureux’s decisions, how often the judge was disqualified from sitting on a case, whether the judge’s pay was withheld for late decisions, and whether the judge followed rules about financial disclosure and conflict of interest statements, among other things.

Survey Results for Judge Lamoureux	Average Survey Ratings*			
	Attorneys	Court Employees	Social Services	Jurors
<i>Number of responses from people with direct experience</i>	167	24	17	27
Legal Ability <i>The judge is knowledgeable in law and procedure, and communicates clearly and accurately.</i>	4.4	-	-	-
Impartiality <i>The judge treats everyone fairly and equally.</i>	4.4	4.8	4.1	4.8
Integrity <i>The judge is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.</i>	4.5	4.8	4.2	-
Temperament <i>The judge treats everyone with courtesy, without arrogance, showing understanding and compassion.</i>	4.5	4.8	3.9	5.0
Diligence <i>The judge acts promptly and works conscientiously.</i>	4.6	4.8	4.2	-
Overall <i>The judge meets the standards of the position.</i>	4.4	4.9	4.2	5.0

*Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Acceptable, 2 = Deficient, 1 = Poor
Judges are rated by different groups depending on caseloads

Find Judge Lamoureux’s full performance evaluation at www.ajc.state.ak.us